

THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099  
 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666  
 rdoren@gibsondunn.com  
 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
 dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471  
 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com  
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 333 South Grand AvenueW  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
 Telephone: 213.229.7000  
 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

VERONICA S. LEWIS (Texas Bar No.  
 24000092; *pro hac vice*)  
 vlewis@gibsondunn.com  
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100  
 Dallas, TX 75201  
 Telephone: 214.698.3100  
 Facsimile: 214.571.2900

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
 mperry@gibsondunn.com  
 CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
 492089; *pro hac vice*)  
 crichman@gibsondunn.com  
 HARRY R. S. PHILLIPS (D.C. Bar No.  
 1617356; *pro hac vice*)  
 hphillips2@gibsondunn.com  
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20036  
 Telephone: 202.955.8500  
 Facsimile: 202.467.0539

ETHAN D. DETTMER, SBN 196046  
 edettmer@gibsondunn.com  
 ELI M. LAZARUS, SBN 284082  
 elazarus@gibsondunn.com  
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 555 Mission Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94105  
 Telephone: 415.393.8200  
 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,  
 Plaintiff, Counter-defendant  
 v.  
 APPLE INC.,  
 Defendant, Counterclaimant

IN RE APPLE IPHONE ANTITRUST  
 LITIGATION

DONALD R. CAMERON, *et al.*,  
 Plaintiffs  
 v.  
 APPLE INC.,  
 Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR  
 Case No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR  
 Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR

**DECLARATION OF ETHAN D. DETTMER  
 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT APPLE  
 INC.'S STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO  
 ORDER TENTATIVELY DENYING  
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO MODIFY  
 CASE SCHEDULE [DKT. 330]**

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 I, Ethan D. Dettmer, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, and a member of the Bar  
3 of this Court. I am a partner at the law firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, counsel of record for  
4 Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) in this case. Except where otherwise stated, I have personal  
5 knowledge of the facts stated below and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently  
6 thereto. I submit this declaration in support of Apple’s Statement in Response to Order Tentatively  
7 Denying Administrative Motion to Modify Case Schedule.

8 2. Apple has consistently informed class plaintiffs that preparing the requested  
9 transactional data is an extremely complex undertaking that cannot be expedited due to engineering  
10 requirements and technological processing constraints. Indeed, Apple long ago informed class  
11 plaintiffs that it would take multiple weeks to produce the transactional data and that each new request  
12 class plaintiffs made would increase the time it would take to complete production.

13 3. On August 4, 2020, Eli Lazarus, my co-counsel in this case, sent an email to Rachele  
14 Byrd and Ted Wojcik, counsel for the consumer plaintiffs and developer plaintiffs respectively, and to  
15 their colleagues, explaining that producing the transactional data as requested will take significant  
16 efforts, that Apple continues to investigate how to produce the data in accordance with plaintiffs’  
17 requests in light of the constraints, and that difficulties created by the pandemic exacerbate the situation.  
18 A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

19 4. On August 5, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent an email to Ms. Byrd, Mr. Wojcik, and their  
20 colleagues, explaining that while Apple is able to produce the materials in relational tables “the  
21 processing and analysis time will likely be greater.” A true and correct copy of this letter is attached  
22 hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

23 5. On August 7, 2020, my colleagues and I attended a telephonic meet and confer with  
24 counsel for consumer plaintiffs and developer plaintiffs. On that call Apple explained it would take at  
25 least two weeks to produce the data as a relational table.

26 6. On August 14, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent an email to Ms. Byrd, Mr. Wojcik, and their  
27 colleagues, again explaining that it would take at least two weeks to produce the data as a relational  
28 table. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

1           7.       On August 24, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent an email to Ms. Byrd, Mr. Wojcik, and their  
2 colleagues, again explaining the burden of extracting and organizing the large volume of data. A true  
3 and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**.

4           8.       On September 10, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent an email to Ms. Byrd, Mr. Wojcik, and their  
5 colleagues, explaining that additional requests increase the time to complete the full production. A true  
6 and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**.

7           9.       On October 12, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent a letter to Ms. Byrd and Mr. Wojcik, addressing  
8 their concerns regarding data discovery and again explaining that additional requests increase the time  
9 to complete the production. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 6**.

10          10.       On October 23, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent a letter to Ms. Byrd and Mr. Wojcik, addressing  
11 their concerns regarding data discovery and again explaining that additional requests increase the time  
12 to complete the production. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 7**.

13          11.       On October 27, 2020, I sent a letter to Robert Lopez, counsel for the developer plaintiffs,  
14 and to Ms. Byrd, explaining that Apple is prepared to produce the transactional data but that the  
15 production is a significant undertaking. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as  
16 **Exhibit 8**.

17          12.       On November 2, 2020, I sent a letter to Ben Siegel, counsel for the developer plaintiffs,  
18 and to Ms. Byrd, addressing their concerns regarding the production of transactional data and  
19 explaining that each of plaintiffs' additional demands increase the time to complete the full production.  
20 A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 9**.

21          13.       On November 6, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent a letter to Ms. Byrd and Mr. Wojcik, explaining  
22 that plaintiffs' expectation ignores the necessary effort required by Apple to make the production. A  
23 true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 10**.

24          14.       On December 10, 2020, Mr. Lazarus sent an email to Mr. Siegel, Mr. Wojcik, and  
25 Ms. Moskowitz, counsel for Epic Games, Inc., and their respective colleagues, stating that "[b]ecause  
26 Apple [] agreed to certain of plaintiffs' demands to include additional fields, assembling the final data  
27 set will require some additional time." A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as  
28 **Exhibit 11**.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true  
2 and correct and that this Declaration was executed on December 30, 2020, at Coronado, California.  
3  
4

5 /s/ *Ethan D. Dettmer*

Ethan D. Dettmer  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28